

**MULTIPLICATION THEOREMS FOR  $G(N, p, \alpha)$  SUMMABILITY:**

**Dr. Pragati Sinha**

Associate Professor

Mangalmai Institute of Engineering & Technology,

Knowledge Park – II, Greater Noida

Gautam Budh Nagar (U.P.) - 201308

**Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Saxena**

Associate Professor,

Department of Mathematics

N.M.S.N. Das (P.G.) College,

Budaun, U.P.-243601

**1.1 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS:**

Let  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  are two sequences then

$$\Delta \epsilon_n = p_n - p_{n-1} = \Delta p_n$$

$$\Delta \epsilon_n = q_n - q_{n-1} = \Delta q_n$$

Given two sequences  $\{\epsilon\}$  and  $\{\alpha\}$ , the convolution  $(\epsilon * \alpha)_n$  define as,

$$(\epsilon * \alpha)_n = \sum_{v=0}^n P_{n-v} \alpha_v \tag{1.1.1}$$

Operation of convolution is commutative and associative, we note that

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \epsilon_v = (\epsilon * 1)_n \tag{1.1.2}$$

$$\Delta(\epsilon * \alpha)_n = (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha)_n = (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n \tag{1.1.3}$$

$$N_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) = \frac{(\epsilon * \alpha s)_n}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} = \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n \epsilon_{n-v} \alpha_v s_v \tag{1.1.4}$$

$$T_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) = \frac{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha s)_n}{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha)_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha s)_n}{(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n \epsilon_{n-v} (\alpha_v s_v - \alpha_{v-1} s_{v-1}). \tag{1.1.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1:**

Absolutely summability: A series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be absolutely summable  $G(N, p, \alpha)$  with index  $\sigma$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\sigma-1} |N_n^{(\sigma)} - N_{n-1}^{(\sigma)}| < \infty \tag{1.1.6}$$

where  $N_n^{(\sigma)} \equiv (N_n^{\epsilon, \alpha})^{(\sigma)}$ .

**Definition 2:**

If  $N_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) = 0(1)$ , we say that the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded  $G(N, p, \alpha)$  where  $N_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)$  is defined by (1.1.4).

**Definition 3:**

Strong summability: A series  $\sum_0^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be strongly summable  $G(N, p, \alpha)$  with index  $\sigma$  to a if

$$\frac{1}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n |(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_v| |T_v^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) - a|^{\sigma} = 0 \tag{1.1.7}$$

we denote it by,  $s_n \rightarrow aG[N, p, \alpha]_{\sigma}$

We remark that this definition is of use only when

$$|(\epsilon * \alpha)_n| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for otherwise, since the expression on the left hand side of (1.1.6) is non-decreasing, (1.1.7) can be satisfied only if,  $(\epsilon * \Delta(\alpha s))_v - a(\epsilon * \Delta\alpha)_v = 0$  for every  $v \geq 0$  that is, if and only if

$$\alpha_v(s_v - a) = 0$$

for every  $v \geq 0$ .

We note that, by using (1.1.3),(1.1.5) can be rewritten as

$$T_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) = \frac{(\Delta\epsilon * \alpha s)_n}{(\Delta\epsilon * \alpha)_n}$$

This shows that  $T_n(s)$  is the  $G(N, \Delta p, \alpha)$  transform of sequence  $\{s_n\}$ .

**Definition 4:**

We say that  $\{s_n\}$  is strongly bounded  $G(N, p, \alpha)$  with index  $\sigma > 0$  or bounded  $G(N, p, \alpha)$  if,

$$\frac{1}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n |(\epsilon * \Delta\alpha)_v, \| T_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) |^\sigma = 0(1). \tag{1.1.8}$$

where  $T_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)$  defined (1.1.5).

Throughout this paper, for given sequence  $\epsilon, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, s$  and  $t$  we write

$$r_n = (\epsilon * \epsilon)_n$$

$$\gamma_n = (\alpha * \beta)_n \neq 0 \text{ for all } n$$

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \sum_{v=0}^n \alpha_v s_v \beta_{n-v} t_{n-v} = \frac{(\alpha s * \beta t)_n}{(\alpha * \beta)_n} \tag{1.1.9}$$

$$d_n = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \sum_{v=0}^n \alpha_{n-v} \beta_v t_v = \frac{(\alpha * \beta t)_n}{(\alpha * \beta)_n} \tag{1.1.10}$$

Also K will denote a positive constant which may not be the same at each occurrence

**1.2 INTRODUCTION:**

BORWEIN and CASS [2] have established some multiplication theorems concerning strong Nörlund summability and Cauchy product of two series. BORWEIN [I] has established some multiplication theorem involving generalised Nörlund summability and products more general than the Cauchy product of two sequence.

Later on KUMAR [3] give some theorem on strong Nörlund summability and products more general than the Cauchy products of sequences.

Our object in this paper is to generalised all the theorems of KUMAR [3] for  $G(N, p, \alpha)$  summability. However our theorems are as follows.

**1.3 THEOREMS:**

**Theorem 1:** Suppose that  $(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha)_n > 0, (\epsilon * \beta)_n > 0$  for all  $n, (N, \epsilon * \beta, \epsilon * \alpha)$  is regular and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . If  $s_n \rightarrow OG[N, p, \alpha]_\lambda$  and  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded  $G(N, q, \beta)$  then  $c_n \rightarrow OG(N, r, \gamma)_\lambda$ . where  $c_n$  is defined by (1.1.9).

**Theorem 2:** Suppose that  $(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha)_n > 0, (\epsilon * \beta)_n > 0$  for all  $n, (N, \epsilon * \beta, \epsilon * \alpha)$  is bi-regular and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . If  $s_n \rightarrow lG|N, p, \alpha|_\lambda$  and  $t_n \rightarrow mG(N, q, \beta)$  then  $c_n \rightarrow lmG(N, r, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 3:** Suppose that  $(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n > 0, (\epsilon * \Delta \beta)_n > 0$  for all  $n, \lambda \geq 1$  and  $(\epsilon * \alpha)_n (\epsilon * \beta)_n =$

$0((\Delta r * \gamma)_n)_{1.2.1}$ . If  $s_n \rightarrow OG[N, p, \alpha]_\lambda$  and  $t_n$  is bounded  $G[N, q, \beta]_\lambda$  then  $c_n \rightarrow OG(N, \Delta r, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 4:** Suppose that  $(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n > 0, (\epsilon * \Delta \beta)_a > 0$  for all  $n, \lambda \geq 1$  and (1.2.1) holds. If

$s_n \rightarrow lG[N, p, \alpha]_\lambda$  and  $t_n \rightarrow mG[N, q, \beta]_\lambda$  then  $c \rightarrow lmG(N, \Delta r, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 5:** Suppose that  $(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 0, \beta_0 > 0 \beta_n \geq 0$  for  $n > 0, \lambda \geq 1$  and

$(N, \beta, \epsilon * \alpha)$  is regular. If  $s_n \rightarrow OG[N, p, \alpha]_\lambda$ , and  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded, then  $c_n \rightarrow OG[N, p, \gamma]_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 6:** Suppose that  $(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 0, \beta_0 > 0 \beta_n \geq 0$  for  $n > 0, \lambda \geq 1$  and

$(N, \beta, \epsilon * \alpha)$  is bi-regular. If  $s_n \rightarrow OG[N, p, \alpha]_\lambda$  and  $t_n \rightarrow m$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then  $c_n \rightarrow lmG[N, p, \gamma]_\lambda$ .

For the proof of theorems we required following lemma:

**1.4 LEMMA:**

If  $(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n, (\epsilon * \alpha)_n$  be non-zero for all  $n$  and

$$\sum_{v=0}^n |(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_v| = 0((\epsilon * \alpha)_n) \tag{1.4.1}$$

then, for  $\lambda > \mu > 0, s_n \rightarrow lG[N, p, \alpha]_\lambda$  implies  $s_n \rightarrow lG[N, p, \alpha]_\mu$ .

The result of the lemma also hold when summability is replaced by boundedness.

**Proof of lemma:** Suppose  $s_n \rightarrow lG[N, p, \alpha]_\lambda$ . Thus we have,

$$\frac{1}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n |(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_v| |T_v^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) - \alpha|^\lambda = 0(1) \tag{1.4.2}$$

Using Holder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n |(\epsilon * \Delta\alpha)_v| |T_v^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) - a|^\mu \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n |(\epsilon * \Delta\alpha)_v| |T_v^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s) - a|^\lambda \right\}^\lambda \times \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n |(\epsilon * \Delta\alpha)_v| \right\}^{|\alpha - \mu|/\lambda} = O(1) \end{aligned}$$

by (1.4.1) and (1.4.2). Hence  $s_n \rightarrow l [N, p, \alpha]_\mu$  as desired.

### 1.5 PROOF OF THEOREMS:

#### Proof of Theorem 1:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta r * \gamma)_n T_n^{r, \gamma}(c) &= (\Delta r * \gamma c)_n \\ &= (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha s * \beta t)_n \\ &= \{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha s)^* (\epsilon * \beta t)\}_n \\ &= \{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) T^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)^* (\epsilon * \beta) N^{\epsilon, \beta}(t)\}_n \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{N^{\epsilon, \beta}(t)\}$  is bounded, it follows that, for some constant  $K$ .

$$|(\Delta r * \gamma)_n T_n^{r, \gamma}(c)| \leq K \{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) |T^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)| * (\epsilon * \beta)\}_n$$

Hence, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta r * \gamma)_n T_n^{r, \gamma}(c)|^\lambda &\leq K^\lambda \{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) * (\epsilon * \beta)\}_n^{\lambda-1} \times \\ &\times \{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) |T^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)|^\lambda (\epsilon * \beta)\}_n \\ &= K^\lambda [(\Delta r * \gamma)_n]^{\lambda-1} \{(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) |T^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)|^\lambda * (\epsilon * \beta)\}_n \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha)_n > 0$  and  $(\epsilon * \beta)_n > 0$  imply that

$(\Delta r * \gamma)_n > 0$ , thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=0}^n (\Delta r * \gamma)_v |T_v^{r,\gamma}(c)|^\lambda &\leq K^\lambda (1 * (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) |T^{\epsilon,\alpha}(s)|^\lambda * (\epsilon * \beta))_n \\ &= K^\lambda \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \beta)_{n-v} \sum_{\mu=0}^n (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha)_\mu |T_\mu^{\epsilon,\alpha}(s)|^\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (1.5.1)$$

We are given that the inner sum on the right of (1.5.1) is  $O((\epsilon * \alpha)_v)$ ; hence, by the regularity of  $(N, \epsilon * \beta, \epsilon * \alpha)$ , the expression on right of (1.5.1) is  $O((r * \gamma)_n)$ . Hence the result.

**Proof of Theorem 2:**

We write  $s_n = l + (s_n - l)$ . The contribution of the second term may be delete with by Theorem 1, so it is enough to consider the case in which  $s_n$  is a constant, which may be taken as 1. In other words, we have to prove that if  $t_n \rightarrow mG(N, q, \beta)$  then  $d_n \rightarrow m G(N, r, \gamma)_\lambda$  where  $d_n$  is defined by (1.1.10). Write  $t_n = m + (t_n - m)$  we may again consider the case in which  $m = 0$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta r * \gamma)_n T_n^{r,\gamma}(d) &= (\Delta r * \gamma d)_n \\ &= (\Delta r * \alpha * \beta t)_n \\ &= (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha * (\epsilon * \beta) N^{\epsilon,\beta}(t))_n \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequility, we find that:

$$|(\Delta r * \gamma)_n T_n^{r,\gamma}(d)|^\lambda \leq \{\Delta \epsilon * \alpha * \epsilon * \beta\}_n^{\lambda-1} \times$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left\{ \left( (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha *) * (\epsilon * \beta) N^{\epsilon, \beta}(t) \right)_n^\lambda \right\} \\ & = \{ (\Delta r * \gamma)_n \}^{\lambda-1} \left( \left( (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha *) * (\epsilon * \beta) \left| N^{\epsilon, \beta}(t) \right|^\lambda \right)_n \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$(\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) > 0, (\epsilon * \beta)_n > 0$  implies that  $(\Delta r * \gamma)_n > 0$  therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=0}^n (\Delta r * \gamma)_v \cdot |T_v^{r, \gamma}(d)|^2 \leq \left( 1 * (\Delta \epsilon * \alpha) * (\epsilon * \beta) \left| N_{(t)}^{\epsilon, \beta} \right|^\lambda \right)_n, \\ & = \left( \epsilon * \alpha * (\epsilon, \alpha * \beta) \left| N^{\epsilon, \beta}(t) \right|^\lambda \right)_n \\ & = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon * \beta)_v \left| N_v^{\epsilon, \beta}(t) \right|^\lambda (\epsilon * \alpha)_{n-v} \\ & = 0((r * \gamma)_n) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\left| N_v^{\epsilon, \beta}(t) \right|^\lambda \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(N, \epsilon * \alpha, \epsilon * \beta)$  is regular.

The proof is thus complete.

**Remark:**

We remark that the hypothesis of Theorem 3 and 4 imply that  $(\Delta r * \gamma)_n > 0$  for all  $n$  and  $(r * \gamma)_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that (for any  $\lambda > 0$ )  $G(N, \Delta r, \gamma)$  summability implies  $G[N, r, \gamma]_\lambda$  summability, so that the conclusion of these theorems are stronger than the  $G[N, r, \gamma]_\lambda$  summability of  $\{c_n\}$

**Proof of theorem 3:**

By the lemma, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the case  $\lambda = 1$  keeping (1.3) in view, we find that



$$\begin{aligned}
 N_n^{\Delta r, \gamma}(c) &= \frac{(\Delta r * \gamma c)_n}{(\Delta r * \gamma)_n} \\
 &= \frac{(r * \Delta(\gamma c))_n}{(r * \Delta \gamma)_n} = T_n^{r, \gamma}(c)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have to prove that  $T_n^{r, \gamma}(c) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the hypotheses imply that  $(r * \Delta \gamma)_n > 0$ , therefore,

$$(r * \Delta \gamma)_n T_n^{r, \gamma}(c) = \{ |(1 * \epsilon * \epsilon * \Delta(\alpha s) * \Delta(\beta t))_n | \}$$

where,

$$\theta_n = \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \beta)_0} \sum_{v=1}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \beta)_v |T_v^{\epsilon, \beta}(t)|$$

Since  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded  $G[N, q, \beta]$ , implies that

$\theta_n = 0(1)$ , thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_n^{r, \gamma}(c)| &\leq \frac{k}{(\Delta r * \gamma)_n} \{ ((\epsilon * \Delta \alpha) |T_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)| * (\epsilon * \beta))_n \} \\
 &= \frac{K}{(\Delta r * \gamma)_n} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_v |T_v^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)| (\epsilon * \beta)_{n-v} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\epsilon * \Delta \beta)_n > 0$ , it is follows that  $\{(\epsilon * \beta)_n\}$  is increasing. Also by hypothesis

$$\sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_v |T_v^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)| = 0((\epsilon * \alpha)_n)$$

Hence,

$$|T_n^{r, \gamma}(c)| \leq \frac{K(\epsilon * \beta)_n}{(\Delta r * \gamma)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_v |T_v^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)|$$

$$= 0 \left( \frac{(\epsilon * \beta)_n (\epsilon * \alpha)_n}{(\Delta r * \gamma)_n} \right) = 0(1)$$

by (1.2.1). The proof is thus complete.

**Proof of Theorem 4:**

By the Lemma, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the case  $\lambda = 1$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 2 we write  $s_n = l + (s_n - l)$ , the contribution of the second term may be delete with by Theorem 3, so it is enough to consider the case in which  $s_n$  is a constant, which may be taken as 1 . In other words, we have to prove that, if  $t_n \rightarrow mG[N, q, \beta]$ , then  $d_n \rightarrow mG[N, \Delta r, \gamma]$  where  $d_n$  is defined by (1.1.10). Writing  $t_n = m + (t_n - m)$  we may again consider the case in which  $m = 0$ . So we have to prove that  $T_n^{r,\gamma}(d) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta r * \gamma)_n |T_n^{r,\gamma}(d)| &\leq (\epsilon * \alpha * (\epsilon * \Delta \beta) |T^{\epsilon,\beta}(t)|)_n \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \alpha)_{n-v} (\epsilon * \Delta \beta)_v |T_v^{\epsilon,\beta}(t)| \end{aligned}$$

since  $(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_n > 0$ , it follows that  $\{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n\}$  is increasing

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n^{r,\gamma}(d)| &\leq \frac{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n}{(\Delta r * \gamma)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \beta)_v |T_v^{\epsilon,\beta}(t)| \\ &= 0 \left( \frac{(\epsilon * \alpha)_n (\epsilon * \beta)_n}{(\Delta r * \gamma)_n^*} \right) = 0(1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t_n \rightarrow OG[N, q, \beta]$ , and condition (1.2.1) holds. Hence proof is complete

**Proof of Theorem 5:**

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\epsilon * \Delta \gamma)_n |T_n^{\epsilon, \gamma}(c)|\}^\lambda &= |(\epsilon * \Delta(\alpha s) * \beta t)_n|^\lambda \\ &\leq \{((\epsilon * \Delta(\alpha))|T^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)| * \beta |t|)_n\}^\lambda \\ &\leq K\{((\epsilon * \Delta\alpha)|T^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)| * \beta)_n\}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded using Hölder's inequality, we find that,

$$\{(\epsilon * \Delta \gamma)_n |T_n^{\epsilon, \gamma}(c)|\}^\lambda \leq K\{(\epsilon * \Delta \gamma)_n\}^{\lambda-1} \left\{((\epsilon * \Delta \alpha) \times |T^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)|^\lambda * \beta)_n\right\}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{(\epsilon * \gamma)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \gamma)_v |T_n^{\epsilon, \gamma}(c)|^\lambda \leq \frac{k}{(\epsilon * \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^n \beta_v \cdot \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-\gamma} (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_\mu |T_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)|^\lambda = 0(1)$$

Since, by hypotheses

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_\mu |T_n^{\epsilon, \alpha}(s)|^\lambda = 0((\epsilon * \alpha)_{n-\nu})$$

and  $(N, \beta, \epsilon * \alpha)$  is regular

Thus the proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 6:**

Writing  $s_n = l + (s_n - l)$ . The contribution of the second term may be dealt with by Theorem 5

, so that it is enough to consider the case in which  $s_n$  is a constant, which may be taken as 1 . In

other words, we have to prove that, if  $t_n \rightarrow m$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $d_n \rightarrow mG[N, p, \gamma]_\lambda$ , where  $d_n$  is

defined by (1.1.10). Writing  $t_n = m + (t_n - m)$ , we may again consider the case in which

$m = 0$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\epsilon * \Delta \gamma)_n | T_n^{\epsilon, \gamma}(d)\}^\lambda &= (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha * \beta t)_n^\lambda \\ &\leq \{(\epsilon * \beta |t| * \Delta \alpha)_n\}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, we obtain,

$$\{(\epsilon * \Delta \gamma)_n | T_n^{\epsilon, \gamma}(d)\}^\lambda \leq \{(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha * \beta)_n\}^{\lambda-1} \times \{(\epsilon * \Delta \alpha * \beta |t|^\lambda)_n\}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(\epsilon * \gamma)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \Delta \gamma)_v |T_v^{\epsilon, \gamma}(d)|^\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \gamma)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n \beta_v |t_v|^\lambda \left[ \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} (\epsilon * \Delta \alpha)_\mu \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(\epsilon * \gamma)_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (\epsilon * \alpha)_{n-v} \beta_v |t_v|^\lambda \\ &= o(1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|t_n|^\lambda \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(N, \epsilon * \alpha, \beta)$  is regular.

This complete the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BORWEIN, D : On product of sequences. Journ. London Math. Soc 33(212-220) 1958
- [2] BORWEIN, D and : Multiplication theorem for strong Nörlund Summability. Math.



CASS, F.P.

Z.107, (33-42)(1968)

- [3] KUMAR, A : Multiplication theorem for Generalized strong Nörlund summability. Indian J. pure Appl.Math. 14(11)(1388-1397) (1983)